

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKÉT NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/465,054	12/16/1999	DAVID BURTON	990326.ORI	8408
7590 01/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Aleya R Champlin Esq			PATEL, MITAL B	
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP 225 South Sixth Street # 4850 ART UNIT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4320			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
. —	Application No.	Applicant(s)	24
Advisory Action	09/465,054 Examiner	BURTON, DAVID Art Unit	
	Mital B. Patel	3743	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe			race
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN COI void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	NDITION FOR ALLO cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	OWANCE. oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$t(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or t	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		,	
Claim(s) rejected: 32 and 57-74.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	^	\cap
10. Other:	·	Aeron J. I Primary Ex	
	·		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments with respect to Tripp not teaching an embodiment having an EEG sensor extended from the mask, please refer to Col. 8, line 37-44 which teach that the transducers (sensors) may be found on the elastic band which does extend from the mask. In response to Applicant's remarks with respect to the use of the EEG sensor with respect to detecting brain activity and the computer adapted to detect sleep states and arousal, the intended use must result in structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art.